

## SCRUTINY CALL-IN REQUEST FORM

### SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULES (14-15)

(Must be completed by at least 2 Members)

All parts of this form must be completed.

#### 1. DECISION

Title...Performance Targets.....

Minute No...6.....

Date Taken...24<sup>th</sup> June 2021 .....

Decision Maker...Executive.....

#### 1. REASON FOR CALL – IN

Please identify the ground(s) and reason(s) on which you believe the decision should be Called In.

The list below may assist you to identify the areas where you believe there are defects in the decision making process.

- That having regard to the nature of the decision and the circumstances in which it was made, the decision has been taken on the basis of inappropriate or insufficient consultation
- That the decision maker has failed to give adequate reasons for the decision
- That the decision maker has failed to take relevant considerations, or has taken irrelevant considerations into account, or has come to a decision which no reasonable decision maker, taking everything properly into account, could have come to
- That the decision is contrary to policy framework
- That the decision is contrary to or not wholly in accordance with the budget
- That the decision cannot be justified and is open to challenge on the basis of the evidence considered.
- That a viable alternative was not considered.

**The Ground(s) for Call-In is:**

- **That having regard to the nature of the decision and the circumstances in which it was made, the decision has been taken on the basis of inappropriate or insufficient consultation**

**The reason supporting the ground(s) is:**

Given the significant impact which Covid-19 has had on the world, it is understandable that some areas are not at their pre covid-19 levels.

However, the consultation with performance scrutiny and the explanation for the amendments was poor and lacking detail. A more in-depth explanation should be provided to members of the Performance scrutiny committee and assurances must be provided that we will return to higher target levels as soon as possible.

Whilst targets should be achievable, we should be ambitious, and set high expectations for the people of Lincoln. The amendments have not been justified and the 20-21 targets should remain.

**SUGGESTING AN OUTCOME** What recommendation to the Executive do you want to make?

- The Executive retain the targets from the previous year and report on those targets.
- Before any amendments are made, a further in-depth explanation is provided to the Performance Scrutiny Committee, with senior officers available to directly answer questions for their service areas.
- The reporting and decision making around target setting for the 22-23 financial year are presented in a clearer and more transparent way for members.

**CALL-IN SUPPORTED BY THE FOLLOWING MEMBERS** (this should be at least 2 members)

Councillor Thomas Dyer  
Leader of the Opposition

Signature.....*TDyer*.....

Councillor David Clarkson  
Hartsholme Ward Councillor

Signature.....*DClarkson*.....

Councillor Christopher Reid  
Deputy Leader of the Opposition

Signature..... *C Reid*.....

Date.....30.06.21.....

Date.....30.06.21.....