APPENDIX D

SCRUTINY CALL-IN REQUEST FORM SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULES (14-15)

(To be completed by at least 2 Members)

All parts of this form must be completed.

1. DECISION

Title: Executive Minutes 25/03/2013

Minute No: 137,1348,140

Date Taken: 25/03/2013

Decision Maker: Executive

1. REASON FOR CALL - IN

Please identify the ground(s) and reason(s) on which you believe the decision should be Called In.

The list below may assist you to identify the areas where you believe there are defects in the decision making process.

- That having regard to the nature of the decision and the circumstances in which it was made, the decision has been taken on the basis of inappropriate or insufficient consultation
- That the decision maker has failed to give adequate reasons for the decision
- That the decision maker has failed to take relevant considerations, or has taken irrelevant considerations into account, or has come to a decision which no reasonable decision maker, taking everything properly into account, could have come to

- That the decision is contrary to policy framework
- That the decision is contrary to or not wholly in accordance with the budget
- That the decision cannot be justified and is open to challenge on the basis of the evidence considered.
- That a viable alternative was not considered.

The Ground(s) for Call-In is:

Failed to give adequate reasons, failed to take relevant considerations, Viable alternative was not considered

The reason supporting the ground(s) is:

All of these considerations have been taken on narrow financial grounds and their ability to create savings towards the MTFS is the only justiofication for them. The urban and commons rangers were created to provide extra security, and to create a perception of safety for all residents and contributed to all residents not only those of the refocused strtegic priorities. All of the consultations panel, scrutiny and advisory groups reported that the withdrawal of these services was a retrograde step and at best should be amalgamated. However these opinions were totally discounted on only financial grounds.

The ward budgets have been popular and utilised by all councillors on a cross party basis and have been useful to small groups for pump priming and small scale services. It is the only finances in the gift of back benchers and directly is fed into the wards. This if withdrawn hits all residents no matter where they live. Lastly this is theonly way that these decisions can be properly discussed as they have not been exposed to public debate and voting in full council. I know of no other place that this happens

SUGGESTING AN OUTCOME What recommendation to the Executive do you want to make?

To reassess and look at savings from staff savings and partnership working

CALL-IN SUPPORTED BY THE FOLLOWING MEMBERS (this should be at	
least 2 members)	
,	
C11 11 C111	IIV AT
Name Clly HILTON SPRATT	Signature MANAM
Name	Signature
	DISK
Name CUT ROVESS FIRMS	es de la companya de
NameV.V.I. IV.V.V. K.J.D	Signature
`	
7/1/1/3	12113
Date 2/4//3	Date 2/1/3